

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/042,739	SILENIUS, PETRI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	José A Fortuna	1731

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) José A Fortuna.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Lasky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called applicants attorney to inquire for the status of the application, since more than six months have passed since the last office action. Mr. Lasky replied that the case was abandoned*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)